

SUB-RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY OF PARALLELIZABLE SPHERES

MAURICIO GODOY MOLINA
IRINA MARKINA

ABSTRACT. The first aim of the present paper is to compare various sub-Riemannian structures over the three dimensional sphere S^3 originating from different constructions. Namely, we describe the sub-Riemannian geometry of S^3 arising through its right Lie group action over itself, the one inherited from the natural complex structure of the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and the geometry that appears when considering the Hopf map as a principal bundle. The main result of this comparison is that in fact those three structures coincide.

In the second place, we present two bracket generating distributions for the seven dimensional sphere S^7 of step 2 with ranks 6 and 4. These yield to sub-Riemannian structures for S^7 that are not present in the literature until now. One of the distributions can be obtained by considering the CR geometry of S^7 inherited from the natural complex structure of the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^4$. The other one originates from the quaternionic analogous of the Hopf map.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main objectives of classical sub-Riemannian geometry is to study manifolds which are path-connected by curves which are admissible in a certain sense. In order to define what does admissibility mean in this context, we begin by setting notations that will be used throughout this paper. Let M be a smooth connected manifold of dimension n , together with a smooth distribution $\mathcal{H} \subset TM$ of rank $2 \leq k < n$. Such vector bundles are often called *horizontal* in the literature. An absolutely continuous curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is called *admissible* or *horizontal* if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{H}$ a.e.

Distributions satisfying the condition that their Lie-hull equals the whole tangent space of the manifold at each point play a central role in the search for horizontally path-connected manifolds. Such vector bundles are said to satisfy the *bracket generating condition*. To be more precise, define the following real vector bundles

$$\mathcal{H}^1 = \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{r+1} = [\mathcal{H}^r, \mathcal{H}] + \mathcal{H}^r \quad \text{for } r \geq 1,$$

which naturally give rise to the flag

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}^3 \subseteq \dots$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C17, 32V15.

Key words and phrases. sub-Riemannian geometry, CR geometry, Hopf bundle, Ehresmann connection, parallelizable spheres, quaternions, octonions.

The authors are partially supported by the grant of the Norwegian Research Council # 177355/V30 and by the grant of the European Science Foundation Networking Programme HCAA.

Then we say that a distribution is bracket generating if for all $x \in M$ there is an $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H}_x^{r(x)} = T_x M.$$

If the dimensions $\dim \mathcal{H}_x^r$ do not depend on x for any $r \geq 1$, we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is a regular distribution. The least r such that (1) is satisfied is called the step of $\mathcal{H}$. We will focus on regular distributions of step 2. In [16] the reader can find detailed definitions and broad discussion about terminology.

The following classical result shows the precise relation between the notion of path-connectedness by means of horizontal curves and the assumption that $\mathcal{H}$ is a bracket generating distribution.

Theorem 1 ([9, 20]). *If a distribution $\mathcal{H} \subset TM$ is bracket generating, then the set of points that can be connected to $p \in M$ by a horizontal path is the connected component of M containing p .*

Thus, the search for horizontally path-connected manifolds can be reduced to the search of appropriate distributions defined on them. We define the class of manifolds which will be of our concern.

Definition 1. *A sub-Riemannian structure over a manifold M is a pair $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a bracket generating distribution and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a fiber inner product defined on $\mathcal{H}$. In this setting, the length of an absolutely continuous horizontal curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is*

$$\ell(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt,$$

where $\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 = \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle$ whenever $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ exists. The triple $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called sub-Riemannian manifold.

Thereby, restricting ourselves to connected sub-Riemannian manifolds endowed with bracket generating distributions, it is possible to define the notion of sub-Riemannian distance between two points.

Definition 2. *The sub-Riemannian distance $d(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ between two points $p, q \in M$ is given by $d(p, q) := \inf \ell(\gamma)$, where the infimum is taken over all absolutely continuous horizontal curves joining p to q .*

An absolutely continuous horizontal curve that realizes the distance between two points is called a horizontal length minimizer.

Remark: The connectedness of M and the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket generating, assure that $d(p, q)$ is a finite nonnegative number. Nevertheless, the bracket generating hypothesis, required for the previous definition, is possible to be weakened. In fact, in [22] the author finds a necessary and sufficient requirement to horizontal path-connectedness for a manifold in terms of the corresponding distribution. Clearly, this theorem contains, as a particular case, the bracket generating condition.

Historically, the first examples of sub-Riemannian manifolds that have been considered were Lie groups, see e.g. [2, 5, 7, 12, 15], because due to its algebraic structure, it is

possible to reduce the problem of finding globally defined bracket generating distributions to finding such distributions at the identity by considering right (or left) invariant vector fields. An important role has been played by considering domains in Euclidean spaces with special algebraic structures (such as the Heisenberg groups, $\mathbb{H}$ -type groups as their natural generalizations to Clifford algebras, Engel groups, Carnot groups, etc.). Particular attention have had the three dimensional unimodular Lie groups which were studied, for example, in [2], [5] and [12]. The main purpose of this communication is to present recent results concerning different sub-Riemannian structures of the second simplest family of examples of manifolds, namely, spheres. We also be inspired by the article [23], where the close relation between the Hopf map and physical applications is presented.

The following celebrated theorem in topology, see [1], gives a very strong restriction on the problem of finding globally defined sub-Riemannian structures over spheres.

Theorem 2 ([1]). *Let $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|^2 = 1\}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with respect to the usual Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then S^{n-1} has precisely $\varrho(n) - 1$ globally defined and non vanishing vector fields, where $\varrho(n)$ is defined in the following way: if $n = (2a + 1)2^b$ and $b = c + 4d$ where $0 \leq c \leq 3$, then $\varrho(n) = 2^c + 8d$.*

In particular, two classical consequences follow: S^1 , S^3 and S^7 are the only spheres with maximal number of linearly independent globally defined non vanishing vector fields, and the even dimensional spheres have no globally defined and non vanishing vector fields.

The condition that a manifold M has maximal number of linearly independent globally defined non vanishing vector fields is usually rephrased as saying that M is *parallelizable*. The fact that S^1 , S^3 and S^7 are the only parallelizable spheres was proven in [6] and that the even dimensional spheres have no globally defined and non vanishing vector fields is a consequence of the Hopf index theorem, see [24].

This theorem permits to conclude at least two major points of discussion: there is no possible global basis of a sub-Riemannian structure for spheres with even dimension and it is impossible to find a globally defined basis for bracket generating distributions, except for S^3 and S^7 . The fact that S^3 and S^7 can be seen as the set of quaternions and octonions of unit length will play a core role in many arguments throughout this paper.

The main results that we present here are: a comparison between three sub-Riemannian structures of S^3 , namely, the one arising through its right Lie group action over itself as the set of unit quaternions, the one inherited from the natural complex structure of the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and the geometry that appears when considering the Hopf map as a principal bundle. Notice that this structure admits a tangent cone isomorphic to the one dimensional Heisenberg group in a sense of Gromov-Margulis-Mitchell-Mostow construction of the tangent cone [13, 18, 19]. Considering CR-structure of S^7 , inherited from the natural complex structure of the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^4$, we obtain a 2-step bracket generating distribution of the rank 6. This construction intimately related to the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$. Making use of the quaternionic analogue of the Hopf map $S^3 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$, we present another 2-step bracket generating distribution that has the rank 4. We conclude that the sphere S^7 admits two principally different sub-Riemannian structures. The tangent cone, in the first case, is isomorphic to the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group, and in the second case it has a structure of the quaternionic Heisenberg-type group with 3-dimensional center [7]. In both cases we present the basis of the horizontal

distribution that is very useful in future studies of geodesics and hypoelliptic operators related to the spherical sub-Riemannian manifolds.

2. S^3 AS A SUB-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{H}$ will denote the quaternions, that is, $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{R}^4, +, \circ)$ where $+$ stands for the usual coordinate-wise addition in $\mathbb{R}^4$ and $\circ$ is a non-commutative product given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k) \circ (y_0 + y_1i + y_2j + y_3k) = \\ = (x_0y_0 - x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - x_3y_3) + (x_1y_0 + x_0y_1 - x_3y_2 + x_2y_3)i + \\ + (x_2y_0 + x_3y_1 + x_0y_2 - x_1y_3)j + (x_3y_0 - x_2y_1 + x_1y_2 + x_0y_3)k. \end{aligned}$$

It is important to recall that $\mathbb{H}$ is a non-commutative, associative and normed real division algebra. Let $q = t + ai + bj + ck \in \mathbb{H}$, then the conjugate of q , is given by

$$\bar{q} = t - ai - bj - ck.$$

We define the norm $|q|$ of $q \in \mathbb{H}$, as for the complex numbers, by $|q|^2 = q\bar{q}$.

Given the fact that the sphere S^3 can be realized as the set of unit quaternions, it has a non abelian Lie group structure induced by quaternion multiplication.

The multiplication rule in $\mathbb{H}$ induces a right translation $R_y(x)$ of an element $x = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k$ by the element $y = y_0 + y_1i + y_2j + y_3k$. The right invariant basis vector fields are defined as $Y(y) = Y(0)(R_y(x))_*$, where $Y(0)$ are the basis vectors at the unity of the group. The matrix corresponding to the tangent map $(R_y(x))_*$, obtained by the multiplication rule, becomes

$$(R_y(x))_* = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ -y_1 & y_0 & -y_3 & y_2 \\ -y_2 & y_3 & y_0 & -y_1 \\ -y_3 & -y_2 & y_1 & y_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculating the action of $(R_y(x))_*$ in the basis of unit vectors of $\mathbb{R}^4$ we get the four vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} N(y) &= y_0\partial_{y_0} + y_1\partial_{y_1} + y_2\partial_{y_2} + y_3\partial_{y_3} \\ V(y) &= -y_1\partial_{y_0} + y_0\partial_{y_1} - y_3\partial_{y_2} + y_2\partial_{y_3} \\ X(y) &= -y_2\partial_{y_0} + y_3\partial_{y_1} + y_0\partial_{y_2} - y_1\partial_{y_3} \\ Y(y) &= -y_3\partial_{y_0} - y_2\partial_{y_1} + y_1\partial_{y_2} + y_0\partial_{y_3}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $N(y)$ is the unit normal to S^3 at $y \in S^3$ with respect to the usual Riemannian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $T\mathbb{R}^4$. Moreover, for any $y \in S^3$

$$\langle N(y), V(y) \rangle_y = \langle N(y), X(y) \rangle_y = \langle N(y), Y(y) \rangle_y = 0$$

and

$$\langle N(y), N(y) \rangle_y = \langle V(y), V(y) \rangle_y = \langle X(y), X(y) \rangle_y = \langle Y(y), Y(y) \rangle_y = 1.$$

Since the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y_1 & y_0 & -y_3 & y_2 \\ -y_2 & y_3 & y_0 & -y_1 \\ -y_3 & -y_2 & y_1 & y_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank three, we conclude that the vector fields $\{V(y), X(y), Y(y)\}$ form an orthonormal basis of $T_y S^3$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_y$.

Observing that $[X, Y] = 2V$, we see that the distribution $\text{span}\{X, Y\}$ is bracket generating, therefore it satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. The geodesics of the left invariant sub-Riemannian structure of S^3 are determined in [8], while in [15] the same results are achieved by considering the right invariant structure of S^3 .

Notice that the distribution $\text{span}\{X, Y\}$ can also be defined as the kernel of the contact one form

$$\omega = -y_1 dy_0 + y_0 dy_1 - y_3 dy_2 + y_2 dy_3.$$

Remark: It is easy to see that $[V, Y] = 2X$ and $[X, V] = 2Y$, therefore the distributions $\text{span}\{Y, V\}$ and $\text{span}\{X, V\}$ are also bracket generating. The corresponding contact forms are

$$\theta = -y_2 dy_0 + y_3 dy_1 + y_0 dy_2 - y_1 dy_3$$

and

$$\eta = -y_3 dy_0 - y_2 dy_1 + y_1 dy_2 + y_0 dy_3$$

respectively. This means that there is a priori no natural choice of a sub-Riemannian structure on S^3 generated by the Lie group action of multiplication of quaternions. Any choice that can be made, will produce essentially the same geometry.

3. S^3 AS A CR MANIFOLD

Consider S^3 as the boundary of the unit ball B^4 on $\mathbb{C}^2$, that is, as the hypersurface

$$S^3 := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} = 1\}.$$

The sphere S^3 cannot be endowed with a complex structure, but nevertheless it possess a differentiable structure compatible with the natural complex structure of the ball B^4 as an open set in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We will show that this differentiable structure over the sphere S^3 (CR structure) is equivalent to the sub-Riemannian one considered in the previous section. We begin by recalling the definition of a CR structure, according to [4].

Definition 3. *Let W be a real vector space. A linear map $J : W \rightarrow W$ is called an almost complex structure map if $J \circ J = -I$, where $I : W \rightarrow W$ is the identity map.*

In the case $W = T_p \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $p = (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we say that the standard almost complex structure for W is defined by setting

$$J_n(\partial_{x_j}) = \partial_{y_j}, \quad J_n(\partial_{y_j}) = -\partial_{x_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

For a smooth real submanifold M of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and a point $p \in M$, in general the tangent space $T_p M$ is not invariant under the almost complex structure map J_n for $T_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We are interested in the largest subspace invariant under the action of J_n .

Definition 4. For a point $p \in M$, the complex or holomorphic tangent space of M at p is the vector space

$$H_p M = T_p M \cap J_n(T_p M).$$

In this setting, the following result takes place (see [4]).

Lemma 1. Let M be a real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of real dimension $2n - d$. Then

$$2n - 2d \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_p M \leq 2n - d,$$

and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_p M$ is an even number.

A real submanifold M of $\mathbb{C}^n$ is said to have a CR structure if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_p M$ does not depend on $p \in M$. In particular, by the previous lemma, every smooth real hypersurface S embedded in $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M_p S = 2n - 2$, therefore S is a CR manifold. This fact is applied to every odd dimensional sphere.

The question addressed now is to describe $H_p S^3$. By the discussion in the previous paragraph, $H_p S^3$ is a complex vector space of complex dimension one. This description can be achieved by considering the differential form

$$\omega = \bar{z}dz + \bar{w}dw$$

and observing that $\ker \omega$ is precisely the set we are looking for. Straightforward calculations show that

$$\ker \omega = \text{span}\{\bar{w}\partial_z - \bar{z}\partial_w\}.$$

In real coordinates this corresponds to

$$\bar{w}\partial_z - \bar{z}\partial_w = \frac{1}{2}(-X + iY),$$

where X and Y were defined in Section 2. It is important to remark that this is precisely the maximal invariant J_2 -subspace of $T_p S^3$, namely

$$J_2(X) = Y, \quad J_2(Y) = -X,$$

then $J_2(\text{span}\{X, Y\}) = \text{span}\{X, Y\}$, but $J_2(V) = -N \notin T_p S^3$ for any point $p \in S^3$. Therefore, the distribution corresponding to the right invariant action of S^3 over itself is the same to its one dimensional (complex) CR structure.

Remark: The distribution associated to the anti-holomorphic form

$$\bar{\omega} = zd\bar{z} + wd\bar{w}$$

is the same as the previous one. More explicitly:

$$\ker \bar{\omega} = \text{span}\{-w\partial_{\bar{z}} + z\partial_{\bar{w}}\}$$

and in real coordinates this corresponds to

$$-w\partial_{\bar{z}} + z\partial_{\bar{w}} = \frac{1}{2}(X + iY).$$

The same almost complex structure as the previously described can be obtained by means of the covariant derivative of S^3 considered as a smooth Riemannian manifold embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Namely, in [15] it is introduced the mapping $J(X) = D_X V$, where D

denotes the Levi-Civita connection on tangent bundle to S^3 and V is the vector field defined in Section 2.

4. S^3 AS PRINCIPAL BUNDLE

In this section we describe how the structure of a principal S^1 -bundle over S^3 induces a bracket generating distribution on S^3 . Namely, it is possible to consider S^3 as a S^1 -space, according to the action

$$\lambda \cdot (z, w) = (\lambda z, \lambda w),$$

where $\lambda \in S^1 = \{v \in \mathbb{C} : |v|^2 = 1\}$ and $(z, w) \in S^3 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$.

Consider the Hopf map $h : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ as a principal S^1 -bundle, see [14], given explicitly by

$$h(z, w) = (|z|^2 - |w|^2, 2z\bar{w}),$$

where $S^2 = \{(x, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} : x^2 + |\zeta|^2 = 1\}$. Clearly, h is a submersion of S^3 onto S^2 , and it is a bijection between S^3/S^1 and S^2 , where S^3/S^1 is understood as the orbit space of the S^1 -action over S^3 , previously defined.

Let $p = (x_0, \zeta_0) \in S^2$. It is easy to verify that $h^{-1}(p) = (z_0, w_0) \bmod S^1$, where (z_0, w_0) is one preimage of p under h . Consider the great circle

$$\gamma_p(t) = e^{2\pi it}(z_0, w_0), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

in S^3 , that projects to p under the Hopf map. Now consider the following vector field associated to γ_p , defined by the tangent vectors

$$\dot{\gamma}_p(t) = 2\pi i e^{2\pi it}(z_0, w_0) \in T_{\gamma_p(t)}S^3.$$

We write the Hopf map in real coordinates, where, in particular, $\gamma_p(t) = (z(t), w(t)) = (x_0(t) + ix_1(t), x_2(t) + ix_3(t)) = (x_0(t), x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$. It is easy to see that

$$[d_{\gamma_p(t)}h] = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_0(t) & x_1(t) & -x_2(t) & -x_3(t) \\ x_2(t) & x_3(t) & x_0(t) & x_1(t) \\ -x_3(t) & x_2(t) & x_1(t) & -x_0(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the Hopf map induces the following action over the vector field $\dot{\gamma}_p(t)$:

$$[d_{\gamma_p(t)}h]\dot{\gamma}_p(t) = 4\pi \begin{pmatrix} x_0(t) & x_1(t) & -x_2(t) & -x_3(t) \\ x_2(t) & x_3(t) & x_0(t) & x_1(t) \\ -x_3(t) & x_2(t) & x_1(t) & -x_0(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_0(t) \\ \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \\ \dot{x}_3(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, if $[d_{\gamma_p(t)}h]$ is a full rank matrix, we would have characterized the kernel of it, by

$$(2) \quad \ker d_{\gamma_p(t)}h = \text{span}\{\dot{\gamma}_p(t)\}.$$

Notice that, using the notation of Section 2, the following identity holds

$$(3) \quad \dot{\gamma}_p(t) = 2\pi V(\gamma_p(t)).$$

There is a simple way to see that the matrix $[d_{\gamma_p(t)}h]$ is full rank. Denote by D_i the 3×3 matrix obtained by deleting the i -th column of $[d_{\gamma_p(t)}h]$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ or 4 , then

$$\det(D_1)^2 + \det(D_2)^2 + \det(D_3)^2 + \det(D_4)^2 =$$

$$= ((x_0(t))^2 + (x_1(t))^2 + (x_2(t))^2 + (x_3(t))^2)^3 = 1$$

implies that $[d_{\gamma_p(t)}h]$ is full rank.

Before describing how the Hopf map induces a horizontal distribution, it is necessary to present some definitions.

Definition 5 (Ehresmann Connection [19], chapter 11). *Let M and Q be two differentiable manifolds, and let $\pi : Q \rightarrow M$ be a submersion. Denoting by $Q_m = \pi^{-1}(m)$ the fiber through $m \in M$, the vertical space at q is the tangent space at the fiber $Q_{\pi(q)}$ and it is denoted by V_q .*

An Ehresmann connection for the submersion $\pi : Q \rightarrow M$ is a distribution $\mathcal{H} \subset TQ$ which is everywhere transversal to the vertical, that is:

$$V_q \oplus \mathcal{H}_q = T_q Q.$$

We apply Definition 5 to S^3 in order to define the Ehresmann connection. Since we know that $\ker d_p h = \text{span}\{V(p)\}$, for every $p \in S^3$ by (2) and (3), and moreover,

$$\langle X(p), V(p) \rangle_p = \langle Y(p), V(p) \rangle_p = \langle X(p), Y(p) \rangle_p = 0$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ stands for the usual Riemannian structure defined at $p \in S^3$, we see that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H}_p = \text{span}\{X(p), Y(p)\}$$

is an Ehresmann connection for the submersion $h : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ with $V(p)$ as a vertical space.

Definition 6. *Let G be a Lie group acting on Q and $\pi : Q \rightarrow M$ a submersion, with Ehresmann connection $\mathcal{H}$, which is a fiber bundle with fiber G . The submersion π is called a principal G -bundle with connection, if the following conditions hold:*

- G acts freely and transitively,
- the group orbits are the fibers of $\pi : Q \rightarrow M$ (thus M is isomorphic to Q/G and π is the canonical projection) and
- the G -action on Q preserves the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$;

We conclude that the Hopf fibration is a principal S^1 -bundle with connection $\mathcal{H}$, defined in (4).

Definition 7. *A sub-Riemannian metric $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ on the principal G -bundle $\pi : G \rightarrow M$ is called a metric of bundle type if the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is induced from a Riemannian metric on M .*

The sub-Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathcal{H}}$, obtained by restricting the usual Riemannian metric of S^3 to the distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is, by construction, a metric of bundle type.

Thus, the Hopf map indicates in a very natural topological way how to make a natural choice of the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ that was not obvious when we considered the right action of S^3 over itself.

Remark: Observe that the considered vector fields coincide with the right invariant vector fields. This phenomenon does not appear when we change the right action to the left action of S^3 over itself.

5. TANGENT VECTOR FIELDS FOR S^7

In Sections 5 - 7 we study different sub-Riemannian structures over the sphere S^7 , making use of ideas of Sections 2 - 4. As a result, we obtain two principally different types of horizontal distributions. One of them of rank 6 and other of the rank 4. Moreover, as we shall see, the sub-Riemannian structure induced by the CR-structure and quaternionic analogue of the Hopf map are essentially different. We start from the construction of the tangent vector fields to S^7 .

The multiplication of unit octonions is not associative, therefore S^7 is not a group in a contrast with S^3 . Nevertheless, we still able to use the multiplication law in order to fugue out global tangent vector fields. To do this, we present a multiplication table for the basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^8$. The non-associative multiplication gives rise to the division algebra of the octonions

$$\mathbb{O} = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}.$$

	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_0	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_1	e_1	$-e_0$	e_3	$-e_2$	e_5	$-e_4$	$-e_7$	e_6
e_2	e_2	$-e_3$	$-e_0$	e_1	e_6	e_7	$-e_4$	$-e_5$
e_3	e_3	e_2	$-e_1$	$-e_0$	e_7	$-e_6$	e_5	$-e_4$
e_4	e_4	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	$-e_7$	$-e_0$	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_5	e_5	e_4	$-e_7$	e_6	$-e_1$	$-e_0$	$-e_3$	e_2
e_6	e_6	e_7	e_4	$-e_5$	$-e_2$	e_3	$-e_0$	$-e_1$
e_7	e_7	$-e_6$	e_5	e_4	$-e_3$	$-e_2$	e_1	$-e_0$

TABLE 1. Multiplication table for the basis of $\mathbb{O}$.

According to the Table 1, the formula for the product of two octonions is presented in the Appendix, subsection 8.1. This multiplication rule induces a matrix representation of the right action of octonion multiplication, given explicitly by:

$$R_* = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 & -y_1 & -y_2 & -y_3 & -y_4 & -y_5 & -y_6 & -y_7 \\ y_1 & y_0 & y_3 & -y_2 & y_5 & -y_4 & -y_7 & y_6 \\ y_2 & -y_3 & y_0 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 & -y_4 & -y_5 \\ y_3 & y_2 & -y_1 & y_0 & y_7 & -y_6 & y_5 & -y_4 \\ y_4 & -y_5 & -y_6 & -y_7 & y_0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ y_5 & y_4 & -y_7 & y_6 & -y_1 & y_0 & -y_3 & y_2 \\ y_6 & y_7 & y_4 & -y_5 & -y_2 & y_3 & y_0 & -y_1 \\ y_7 & -y_6 & y_5 & y_4 & -y_3 & -y_2 & y_1 & y_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are able to find globally defined tangent vector fields which are invariant under this action. We proceed by the analogy with Section 2. The explicit formulae are given in subsection 8.2 of the Appendix. The vector fields $\{Y_0, \dots, Y_7\}$ form a frame. More explicitly, we have that the following identity holds

$$\langle Y_i(y), Y_j(y) \rangle_y = \delta_{ij}, \quad y \in S^7, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard Riemannian structure over $\mathbb{R}^8$.

6. CR-STRUCTURE AND THE HOPF MAP ON S^7

In the book [19] it is briefly discussed the general idea of studying a sub-Riemannian geometry for odd dimensional spheres via the higher Hopf fibrations. Namely, consider $S^{2n+1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \|z\|^2 = 1\}$, then the S^1 -action on S^{2n+1} given by

$$\lambda \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda z_0, \dots, \lambda z_n),$$

for $\lambda \in S^1$ and $(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in S^{2n+1}$, induces the well-known principal S^1 -bundle $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ given explicitly by

$$S^{2n+1} \ni (z_0, \dots, z_n) \mapsto [z_0 : \dots : z_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^n$$

where $[z_0 : \dots : z_n]$ denote homogeneous coordinates. This map is called higher Hopf fibration. The kernel of the map $h: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ produces the vertical space and a transversal to the vertical space distribution gives the Ehresmann connection. We show that the vertical space is always given by an action of standard almost complex structure on the normal vector field to S^{2n+1} , and the Ehresmann connection coincides with the holomorphic tangent space at each point of S^{2n+1} .

Theorem 2 asserts that any odd dimensional sphere has at least one globally defined non vanishing tangent vector field. If the dimension of the sphere is of the form $4n + 1$, then it has only one globally defined non vanishing tangent vector field. In the case that the dimension of the sphere is of the form $4n + 3$, then the sphere admits more than one vector field. The sphere S^{2n+1} possess the vector field

$$V_{n+1}(y) = -y_1 \partial_{y_0} + y_0 \partial_{y_1} - y_3 \partial_{y_2} + \dots - y_{2n+2} \partial_{y_{2n+1}} + y_{2n+1} \partial_{y_{2n+2}}.$$

Observe that this vector field has appeared already in two opportunities: the vector field V in Sections 2, 3 and 4 corresponds to V_2 ; and the vector field Y_1 in Subsection 8.2 of the Appendix corresponds to V_4 .

The vector field V_{n+1} has the remarkable property that it encloses valuable information concerning the CR structure of S^{2n+1} . We know by Lemma 1 that, as a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ the sphere S^{2n+1} admits a holomorphic tangent space of dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_p S^{2n+1} = 2n$$

for any point $p \in S^{2n+1}$. The following lemma implies the description of $H_p S^{2n+1}$ as the orthogonal complement to V_{n+1} .

Lemma 2. *Let W be an Euclidean space of dimension $n + 2$, $n \geq 1$, and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$. Consider an orthogonal decomposition $W = \text{span}\{X, Y\} \oplus_{\perp} \tilde{W}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$ and an orthogonal endomorphism $A: W \rightarrow W$ such that*

$$A(\text{span}\{X, Y\}) = \text{span}\{X, Y\},$$

then $\tilde{W}$ is an invariant space under the action of A , i.e.

$$A(\tilde{W}) = \tilde{W}.$$

Proof. Let $v \in \tilde{W}$, then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ it is clear that

$$\langle Av, \alpha X + \beta Y \rangle_W = \langle v, A^t(\alpha X + \beta Y) \rangle_W = \langle v, A^{-1}(\alpha X + \beta Y) \rangle_W.$$

Since $A(\text{span}\{X, Y\}) = \text{span}\{X, Y\}$, there exist real numbers a, b such that $A^{-1}(\alpha X + \beta Y) = aX + bY$, and therefore

$$\langle Av, \alpha X + \beta Y \rangle_W = \langle v, aX + bY \rangle_W = 0$$

which implies that $Av \in \tilde{W}$. $\square$

As an application of Lemma 2, it is possible to obtain an explicit characterization of $H_p S^{2n+1}$.

Lemma 3. *For any $p \in S^{2n+1}$, the vector space $H_p S^{2n+1}$ is the orthogonal complement to the vector $V_{n+1}(p)$ in $T_p S^{2n+1}$.*

Proof. Consider the vector space

$$W_p = \text{span}\{N_{n+1}(p)\} \oplus_\perp T_p S^{2n+1} \cong T_p \mathbb{R}^{2n+2},$$

where $N_{n+1}(p)$ is the normal vector to S^{2n+1} at p . The standard almost complex structure map $J_{n+1} : W_p \rightarrow W_p$ is clearly orthogonal. Moreover

$$J_{n+1}(V_{n+1}(p)) = -N_{n+1}(p), \quad J_{n+1}(N_{n+1}(p)) = V_{n+1}(p).$$

Using the decomposition $W_p = \tilde{W}_p \oplus_\perp \text{span}\{V_{n+1}(p), N_{n+1}(p)\}$ it is possible to apply Lemma 2 in order to conclude that $\tilde{W}_p$, which is the orthogonal complement to $V_{n+1}(p)$ in $T_p S^{2n+1}$, is invariant under J_{n+1} . Since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{W}_p = 2n$, we conclude that $\tilde{W}_p = H_p S^{2n+1}$. $\square$

Remark: The space HS^{2n+1} can also be described as the kernel of one form

$$\omega = \bar{z}_0 dz_0 + \dots + \bar{z}_n dz_n.$$

Indeed, take $X \in HS^{2n+1}$, then by straightforward calculations we have

$$\omega(X) = \langle X, N_{n+1} \rangle + i \langle X, V_{n+1} \rangle = 0.$$

Lemma 3 provides a horizontal distribution of rank $2n$ for the spheres S^{2n+1} , by considering the holomorphic tangent space. The question now is whether this distribution is bracket generating. The bracket generating condition for S^3 is already discussed in Section 2. Here we present a basis for bracket generating distribution of rank 6 for S^7 . The following ideas were introduced to the authors by Prof. K. Furutani in a private communication.

Theorem 3. *The subbundle $\mathcal{H} = \text{span}\{Y_2, \dots, Y_7\}$ of TS^7 is a bracket generating distribution of rank 6 and step 2.*

Proof. Define the following vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} v_{41}(y) &= -y_4 \partial_{y_0} + y_5 \partial_{y_1} + y_0 \partial_{y_4} - y_1 \partial_{y_5}, \\ v_{42}(y) &= y_6 \partial_{y_2} - y_7 \partial_{y_3} - y_2 \partial_{y_6} + y_3 \partial_{y_7}, \\ v_{51}(y) &= -y_5 \partial_{y_0} - y_4 \partial_{y_1} + y_1 \partial_{y_4} + y_0 \partial_{y_5}, \\ v_{52}(y) &= -y_7 \partial_{y_2} - y_6 \partial_{y_3} + y_3 \partial_{y_6} + y_0 \partial_{y_7}, \end{aligned}$$

and observe that $v_{41} + v_{42} = Y_4$ and $v_{51} + v_{52} = Y_5$. By straightforward calculations we see that

$$\langle v_{41}(y), Y_0(y) \rangle_y = \langle v_{42}(y), Y_0(y) \rangle_y = \langle v_{51}(y), Y_0(y) \rangle_y = \langle v_{52}(y), Y_0(y) \rangle_y = 0$$

$$\langle v_{41}(y), Y_1(y) \rangle_y = \langle v_{42}(y), Y_1(y) \rangle_y = \langle v_{51}(y), Y_1(y) \rangle_y = \langle v_{52}(y), Y_1(y) \rangle_y = 0$$

which implies that $v_{41}, v_{42}, v_{51}, v_{52} \in \text{span}\{Y_2, \dots, Y_7\}$. The following commutation relation

$$[v_{41}, v_{51}] + [v_{42}, v_{52}] = -2Y_1$$

implies that the distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket generating of step 2. $\square$

Remark: It is possible to repeat the previous argument with other pairs of vector fields. For example, if instead of Y_4 and Y_5 we employ Y_2 and Y_3 , we can consider the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} v_{21}(y) &= -y_4\partial_{y_0} + y_5\partial_{y_1} + y_0\partial_{y_4} - y_1\partial_{y_5}, \\ v_{22}(y) &= y_6\partial_{y_2} - y_7\partial_{y_3} - y_2\partial_{y_6} + y_3\partial_{y_7}, \\ v_{31}(y) &= -y_5\partial_{y_0} - y_4\partial_{y_1} + y_1\partial_{y_4} + y_0\partial_{y_5}, \\ v_{32}(y) &= -y_7\partial_{y_2} - y_6\partial_{y_3} + y_3\partial_{y_6} + y_0\partial_{y_7}. \end{aligned}$$

We can proceed in a similar way if we use Y_6 and Y_7 .

We conclude this section by proving that the line bundle $\text{span}\{V_{n+1}\}$ is the vertical space for the submersion given by the Hopf fibration $S^1 \hookrightarrow S^7 \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{C}P^n$. This implies that the distribution $\mathcal{H}$ defined in Theorem 3 is an Ehresmann connection for h . To achieve this, we recall that the charts defining the holomorphic structure of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ are given by the open sets

$$U_k = \{[z_0 : \dots : z_n] : z_k \neq 0\},$$

together with the homeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k : U_k &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ [z_0 : \dots : z_n] &\mapsto \left(\frac{z_0}{z_k}, \dots, \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k}, \frac{z_{k+1}}{z_k}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, without loss of generality we will assume that $n = 3$ and we will develop the explicit calculations for $k = 0$. The other cases can be treated similarly.

Using the chart (U_0, φ_0) defined above, we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 \circ h : S^7 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto \left(\frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{z_2}{z_0}, \frac{z_3}{z_0}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which in real coordinates can be written as

$$\varphi_0 \circ h(x_0, \dots, x_7) = \left(\frac{x_0x_3+x_1x_3}{x_0^2+x_1^2}, \frac{x_0x_3-x_1x_2}{x_0^2+x_1^2}, \frac{x_0x_4+x_1x_5}{x_0^2+x_1^2}, \frac{x_0x_5-x_1x_4}{x_0^2+x_1^2}, \frac{x_0x_6+x_1x_7}{x_0^2+x_1^2}, \frac{x_0x_7-x_1x_6}{x_0^2+x_1^2}\right).$$

The differential of this mapping is given by the matrix

$$d(\varphi_0 \circ h) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_2-2x_0x_1x_3}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{(x_0^2-x_1^2)x_3-2x_0x_1x_2}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{x_0}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & \frac{x_1}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_3+2x_0x_1x_2}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_2-2x_0x_1x_3}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & -\frac{x_1}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & \frac{x_0}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_4-2x_0x_1x_5}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{(x_0^2-x_1^2)x_5-2x_0x_1x_4}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{x_0}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & \frac{x_1}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_5+2x_0x_1x_4}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_4-2x_0x_1x_5}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{x_1}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & \frac{x_0}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_6-2x_0x_1x_7}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{(x_0^2-x_1^2)x_7-2x_0x_1x_6}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{x_0}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & \frac{x_1}{x_0^2+x_1^2} \\ \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_7+2x_0x_1x_6}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & \frac{(x_1^2-x_0^2)x_6-2x_0x_1x_7}{(x_0^2+x_1^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{x_1}{x_0^2+x_1^2} & \frac{x_0}{x_0^2+x_1^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By straightforward calculations, we know that

$$\det([d(\varphi_0 \circ H)][d(\varphi_0 \circ H)]^t) = (x_0^2 + x_1^2)^{-8},$$

therefore, the matrix $d(\varphi_0 \circ H)$ has rank 6 and the dimension of its kernel is 2:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker d(\varphi_0 \circ H) = 2.$$

Moreover, since

$$d(\varphi_0 \circ H)(N_{n+1}) = d(\varphi_0 \circ H)(V_{n+1}) = 0,$$

by direct calculations, we conclude

$$\ker d(\varphi_0 \circ H) = \text{span}\{N_{n+1}, V_{n+1}\}.$$

This implies that

$$\ker dH = \text{span}\{V_{n+1}\}.$$

7. APPLICATION OF THE FIRST QUATERNIONIC HOPF FIBRATION

Trying to imitate the work already done for S^3 , we find through the quaternionic Hopf bundle $S^3 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ one of the natural choice of horizontal distributions. We consider the quaternionic Hopf map given by

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} h : S^7 &\rightarrow S^4 \\ (z, w) &\mapsto (|z|^2 - |w|^2, 2z\bar{w}) \end{aligned}.$$

It can be written in real coordinates:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} h(x_0, \dots, x_7) = & (x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2 - x_5^2 - x_6^2 - x_7^2, \\ & 2(x_0x_4 + x_1x_5 + x_2x_6 + x_3x_7), 2(-x_0x_5 + x_1x_4 - x_2x_7 + x_3x_6), \\ & 2(-x_0x_6 + x_1x_7 + x_2x_4 - x_3x_5), 2(-x_0x_7 - x_1x_6 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_4)). \end{aligned}$$

The differential map dh of h is the following:

$$dh = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & -x_4 & -x_5 & -x_6 & -x_7 \\ x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ -x_5 & x_4 & -x_7 & x_6 & x_1 & -x_0 & x_3 & -x_2 \\ -x_6 & x_7 & x_4 & -x_5 & x_2 & -x_3 & -x_0 & x_1 \\ -x_7 & -x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & -x_1 & -x_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since no one of the commutators $[Y_i, Y_j]$, $i, j = 1, \dots, 7$ coincides with the Y_k , $k = 1, \dots, 7$, we look for the kernel of dh among the commutators Y_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, 7$. We found that $[dh]Y_{45} = [dh]Y_{46} = [dh]Y_{56} = 0$. Define $V = \{Y_{45}, Y_{46}, Y_{56}\}$.

Our next step is to find the horizontal distribution $\text{span}\{\mathcal{H}\}$ that is transverse to $\text{span}\{V\}$ and bracket generating: $\text{span}\{\mathcal{H}\}_p \oplus \text{span}\{V\}_p = T_p S^7$ for all $p \in S^7$. To begin we define five basis for horizontal distributions, that we shall work with. The numeration is valid only for this section.

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{Y_{47}, Y_{57}, Y_{67}, W\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{Y_{34}, Y_{35}, Y_{36}, Y_{37}\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_2 = \{Y_{24}, Y_{25}, Y_{26}, Y_{27}\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \{Y_{14}, Y_{15}, Y_{16}, Y_{17}\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_4 = \{Y_{04}, Y_{05}, Y_{06}, Y_{07}\},$$

where the vector field W will be defined later and the notation $Y_{0k} = Y_k$ is chosen for the convenience.

We collect some useful information about sets $\mathcal{H}_m$, $m = 0, \dots, 4$, that we exploit later.

1. All vector fields inside $\mathcal{H}_m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ are orthonormal (we do not count W before we precise it).
2. All of collections $\mathcal{H}_m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ are bracket generating with the following commutator relations:

$$\frac{1}{2}[Y_{j4}, Y_{j5}] = Y_{45}, \quad \frac{1}{2}[Y_{j4}, Y_{j6}] = Y_{46}, \quad \frac{1}{2}[Y_{j5}, Y_{j6}] = Y_{56}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}[Y_{47}, Y_{57}] = Y_{45}, \quad \frac{1}{2}[Y_{47}, Y_{67}] = Y_{46}, \quad \frac{1}{2}[Y_{57}, Y_{67}] = Y_{56}.$$

3. We aim to calculate the angles between the vector fields from $\mathcal{H}_m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and between vector fields from $\mathcal{H}_m$ and V . Beforehand, we introduce the following notations for the coordinates on the sphere S^4 given by the Hopf map $S^3 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{00} &= y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 - y_4^2 - y_5^2 - y_6^2 - y_7^2, \\ a_{11} &= 2(y_0 y_4 + y_1 y_5 + y_2 y_6 + y_3 y_7), \\ a_{22} &= 2(-y_0 y_5 + y_1 y_4 - y_2 y_7 + y_3 y_6), \\ a_{33} &= 2(-y_0 y_6 + y_1 y_7 + y_2 y_4 - y_3 y_5), \\ a_{44} &= 2(-y_0 y_7 - y_1 y_6 + y_2 y_5 + y_3 y_4). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The first index of a_{mk} reflects the number of the collection $\mathcal{H}_m$, where they will appear and the second one is related to the number of the coordinate on S^4 .

We start from $\mathcal{H}_0$ and calculate the inner products:

$$\langle Y_{45}, Y_{67} \rangle = -\langle Y_{46}, Y_{57} \rangle = \langle Y_{56}, Y_{47} \rangle = a_{00}.$$

All other vector fields are orthogonal. We continue for $\mathcal{H}_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_{45}, Y_{36} \rangle &= -\langle Y_{46}, Y_{35} \rangle = \langle Y_{56}, Y_{34} \rangle = a_{11} \\ \langle Y_{45}, Y_{37} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_5 + y_1 y_4 + y_2 y_7 - y_3 y_6) = a_{12} \\ \langle Y_{46}, Y_{37} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_6 - y_1 y_7 + y_2 y_4 + y_3 y_5) = a_{13} \\ \langle Y_{56}, Y_{37} \rangle &= 2(y_0 y_7 - y_1 y_6 + y_2 y_5 - y_3 y_4) = a_{14}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

All other vector fields in $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup V$ are orthogonal. For the set $\mathcal{H}_2$ we see the following:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} -\langle Y_{45}, Y_{26} \rangle &= \langle Y_{46}, Y_{25} \rangle = -\langle Y_{56}, Y_{24} \rangle &= a_{22} \\ \langle Y_{45}, Y_{27} \rangle &= 2(y_0 y_4 + y_1 y_5 - y_2 y_6 - y_3 y_7) &= a_{21} \\ \langle Y_{46}, Y_{27} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_7 + y_1 y_6 + y_2 y_5 - y_3 y_4) &= a_{24} \\ \langle Y_{56}, Y_{27} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_6 - y_1 y_7 - y_2 y_4 - y_3 y_5) &= a_{23} \end{aligned}$$

The other products between vector fields from $\mathcal{H}_2 \cup V$ vanish. For $\mathcal{H}_3$ the situation is very similar.

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Y_{45}, Y_{16} \rangle &= -\langle Y_{46}, Y_{15} \rangle = \langle Y_{56}, Y_{14} \rangle &= a_{33} \\ \langle Y_{45}, Y_{17} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_7 - y_1 y_6 - y_2 y_5 - y_3 y_4) &= a_{34} \\ \langle Y_{46}, Y_{17} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_4 + y_1 y_5 - y_2 y_6 + y_3 y_7) &= a_{31} \\ \langle Y_{56}, Y_{17} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_5 - y_1 y_4 + y_2 y_7 + y_3 y_6) &= a_{32} \end{aligned}$$

All other vector fields from $\mathcal{H}_3 \cup V$ are orthogonal. For the last collection $\mathcal{H}_4$ we obtain.

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Y_{45}, Y_{06} \rangle &= -\langle Y_{46}, Y_{05} \rangle = \langle Y_{56}, Y_{04} \rangle &= a_{44} \\ \langle Y_{45}, Y_{07} \rangle &= 2(y_0 y_6 - y_1 y_7 + y_2 y_4 - y_3 y_5) &= a_{43} \\ \langle Y_{46}, Y_{07} \rangle &= 2(-y_0 y_5 - y_1 y_4 - y_2 y_7 - y_3 y_6) &= a_{42} \\ \langle Y_{56}, Y_{07} \rangle &= 2(y_0 y_4 - y_1 y_5 - y_2 y_6 + y_3 y_7) &= a_{41} \end{aligned}$$

with the rest of the product vanishing.

We notice some relations between the coefficients a_{mk} . The coordinates on S^4 possesses the equality

$$(12) \quad a_{00}^2 + a_{11}^2 + a_{22}^2 + a_{33}^2 + a_{44}^2 = 1.$$

The direct calculations also show

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{00}^2 + a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2 + a_{13}^2 + a_{14}^2 &= 1 \\ a_{00}^2 + a_{21}^2 + a_{22}^2 + a_{23}^2 + a_{24}^2 &= 1 \\ a_{00}^2 + a_{31}^2 + a_{32}^2 + a_{33}^2 + a_{34}^2 &= 1 \\ a_{00}^2 + a_{41}^2 + a_{42}^2 + a_{43}^2 + a_{44}^2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In other words the sum of the squares of the cosines between vector fields from $\mathcal{H}_m \cup V$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is equal to $1 - a_{00}^2$. Let us consider 2 cases: $0 < a_{00}^2 \leq 1$ and $a_{00}^2 = 0$.

CASE $0 < a_{00}^2 \leq 1$.

This case corresponds to any point on S^4 except of the set

$$(14) \quad S_1 = \{y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = y_4^2 + y_5^2 + y_6^2 + y_7^2 = 1/2\}.$$

We observe that the sum of the square of the cosines from (13):

$$\sum_{k=1}^4 a_{mk}^2 = 1 - a_{00}^2, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

belongs to the interval $(0, 1)$ and no one of the cosines can be equal to 1. We conclude that each of $\mathcal{H}_m$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$, is transverse to V . Particularly, if $a_{00}^2 = 1$ then $\sum_{k=1}^4 a_{mk}^2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_m \perp V$. The latter situation occurs in the antipodal points $(\pm 1, 0, 0, 0) \in S^4$ or is to say on the set

$$S_2 = \{y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 0, y_4^2 + y_5^2 + y_6^2 + y_7^2 = 1\} \cup$$

$$(15) \quad \{y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1, y_4^2 + y_5^2 + y_6^2 + y_7^2 = 0\} \in S^7.$$

We also can consider a collection $\mathcal{H}_0$, as a possible horizontal bracket generating distribution, if we choose an adequate vector field W . If $a_{00} \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$0 < a_{41}^2 + a_{42}^2 + a_{43}^2 + a_{44}^2 = 1 - a_{00}^2 < 1$$

and no one of the products in (11) can give 1. We conclude that Y_{07} can not be collinear to $V = \{Y_{45}, Y_{46}, Y_{56}\}$. Therefore, we choose $W = Y_{07}$. By the same reason we could take Y_{j7} , $j = 1, 2, 3$. In the case when $a_{00}^2 = 1$ the vector fields Y_{j7} , $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are orthogonal to V but $\mathcal{H}_0$ is collinear to V and the collection $\mathcal{H}_0$ with $W = Y_{j7}$ is not transverse to V .

CASE $a_{00}^2 = 0$.

In this case the distribution $\mathcal{H}_0$ is nicely serve as a bracket generating if we find a suitable vector field W . Notice that (12) became

$$(16) \quad a_{11}^2 + a_{22}^2 + a_{33}^2 + a_{44}^2 = 1.$$

The a_{mm} can not vanish simultaneously. Without lost of generality, we can assume that $a_{44} \neq 0$. Then $a_{41}^2 + a_{42}^2 + a_{43}^2 = 1 - a_{44}^2 < 1$ from (13) and the products (11) imply that Y_{07} is transverse to V and can be used as a vector field W . In the case $a_{44}^2 = 1$ we get that Y_{07} is orthogonal to V . Since $W \perp Y_{j7}$, $j = 0, \dots, 3$ the collection $\mathcal{H}_0$ with any choice of Y_{j7} , $j = 0, \dots, 3$ will be orthonormal.

We formulate the latter result in the following theorem

Theorem 4. *Let (5) be the Hopf map with the vertical space*

$$V = \{Y_{45}, Y_{46}, Y_{56}\},$$

S_1 and S_2 are given by (14) and (7). Then the Hopf map produces the following Ehresmann Connection $\mathcal{H}_p$, $p \in S^7$:

- (i) if $p \notin S_1$ then $\mathcal{H}_p = (\mathcal{H}_m)_p$, for any choice of $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$;
- (ii) if $p \notin S_2$ then $\mathcal{H}_p = (\mathcal{H}_0 \cup Y_{j7})_p$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$;

and we have

$$\text{span}\{\mathcal{H}_0, Y_{j7}\}_p \oplus \text{span}\{V\}_p = T_p S^7, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{for all } p \in S^7.$$

8. APPENDIX

8.1. Multiplication of octonions. Let

$$o_1 = (x_0 e_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4 + x_5 e_5 + x_6 e_6 + x_7 e_7)$$

and

$$o_2 = (y_0 e_0 + y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2 + y_3 e_3 + y_4 e_4 + y_5 e_5 + y_6 e_6 + y_7 e_7)$$

be two octonions. Then we have according to Table 1

$$\begin{aligned} o_1 \circ o_2 &= (x_0 e_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4 + x_5 e_5 + x_6 e_6 + x_7 e_7) \circ \\ &\quad (y_0 e_0 + y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2 + y_3 e_3 + y_4 e_4 + y_5 e_5 + y_6 e_6 + y_7 e_7) = \\ &= (x_0 y_0 - x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2 - x_3 y_3 - x_4 y_4 - x_5 y_5 - x_6 y_6 - x_7 y_7) e_0 + \\ &\quad + (x_1 y_0 + x_0 y_1 - x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 - x_5 y_4 + x_4 y_5 + x_7 y_6 - x_6 y_7) e_1 + \\ &\quad + (x_2 y_0 + x_3 y_1 + x_0 y_2 - x_1 y_3 - x_6 y_4 - x_7 y_5 + x_4 y_6 + x_5 y_7) e_2 + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(x_3y_0 - x_2y_1 + x_1y_2 + x_0y_3 - x_7y_4 + x_6y_5 - x_5y_6 + x_4y_7)e_3 + \\
& +(x_4y_0 + x_5y_1 + x_6y_2 + x_7y_3 + x_0y_4 - x_1y_5 - x_2y_6 - x_3y_7)e_4 + \\
& +(x_5y_0 - x_4y_1 + x_7y_2 - x_6y_3 + x_1y_4 + x_0y_5 + x_3y_6 - x_2y_7)e_5 + \\
& +(x_6y_0 - x_7y_1 - x_4y_2 + x_5y_3 + x_2y_4 - x_3y_5 + x_0y_6 + x_1y_7)e_6 + \\
& +(x_7y_0 + x_6y_1 - x_5y_2 - x_4y_3 + x_3y_4 + x_2y_5 - x_1y_6 + x_0y_7)e_7.
\end{aligned}$$

8.2. Right invariant vector fields. According to the previous multiplication rule, we have the following unit vector fields of $\mathbb{R}^8$ arising as right invariants vector fields under the octonion multiplication.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0(y) &= y_0\partial_{y_0} + y_1\partial_{y_1} + y_2\partial_{y_2} + y_3\partial_{y_3} + y_4\partial_{y_4} + y_5\partial_{y_5} + y_6\partial_{y_6} + y_7\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_1(y) &= -y_1\partial_{y_0} + y_0\partial_{y_1} - y_3\partial_{y_2} + y_2\partial_{y_3} - y_5\partial_{y_4} + y_4\partial_{y_5} - y_7\partial_{y_6} + y_6\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_2(y) &= -y_2\partial_{y_0} + y_3\partial_{y_1} + y_0\partial_{y_2} - y_1\partial_{y_3} - y_6\partial_{y_4} + y_7\partial_{y_5} + y_4\partial_{y_6} - y_5\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_3(y) &= -y_3\partial_{y_0} - y_2\partial_{y_1} + y_1\partial_{y_2} + y_0\partial_{y_3} + y_7\partial_{y_4} + y_6\partial_{y_5} - y_5\partial_{y_6} - y_4\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_4(y) &= -y_4\partial_{y_0} + y_5\partial_{y_1} + y_6\partial_{y_2} - y_7\partial_{y_3} + y_0\partial_{y_4} - y_1\partial_{y_5} - y_2\partial_{y_6} + y_3\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_5(y) &= -y_5\partial_{y_0} - y_4\partial_{y_1} - y_7\partial_{y_2} - y_6\partial_{y_3} + y_1\partial_{y_4} + y_0\partial_{y_5} + y_3\partial_{y_6} + y_2\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_6(y) &= -y_6\partial_{y_0} + y_7\partial_{y_1} - y_4\partial_{y_2} + y_5\partial_{y_3} + y_2\partial_{y_4} - y_3\partial_{y_5} + y_0\partial_{y_6} - y_1\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_7(y) &= -y_7\partial_{y_0} - y_6\partial_{y_1} + y_5\partial_{y_2} + y_4\partial_{y_3} - y_3\partial_{y_4} - y_2\partial_{y_5} + y_1\partial_{y_6} + y_0\partial_{y_7}.
\end{aligned}$$

The vector fields Y_i , $i = 1, \dots, 7$ form an orthonormal frame of $T_p S^7$, $p \in S^7$, with respect to restriction of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from $\mathbb{R}^8$ to the tangent space $T_p S^7$ at each $p \in S^7$.

8.3. Commutators between right invariant vector fields. Denoting by $Y_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{2}[Y_i(y), Y_j(y)]$ the commutator between the right invariant vector fields, described in the previous subsection, we have the following list:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{12}(y) &= y_3\partial_{y_0} + y_2\partial_{y_1} - y_1\partial_{y_2} - y_0\partial_{y_3} + y_7\partial_{y_4} + y_6\partial_{y_5} - y_5\partial_{y_6} - y_4\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{13}(y) &= -y_2\partial_{y_0} + y_3\partial_{y_1} + y_0\partial_{y_2} - y_1\partial_{y_3} + y_6\partial_{y_4} - y_7\partial_{y_5} - y_4\partial_{y_6} + y_5\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{14}(y) &= y_5\partial_{y_0} + y_4\partial_{y_1} - y_7\partial_{y_2} - y_6\partial_{y_3} - y_1\partial_{y_4} - y_0\partial_{y_5} + y_3\partial_{y_6} + y_2\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{15}(y) &= -y_4\partial_{y_0} + y_5\partial_{y_1} - y_6\partial_{y_2} + y_7\partial_{y_3} + y_0\partial_{y_4} - y_1\partial_{y_5} + y_2\partial_{y_6} - y_3\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{16}(y) &= y_7\partial_{y_0} + y_6\partial_{y_1} + y_5\partial_{y_2} + y_4\partial_{y_3} - y_3\partial_{y_4} - y_2\partial_{y_5} - y_1\partial_{y_6} - y_0\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{17}(y) &= -y_6\partial_{y_0} + y_7\partial_{y_1} + y_4\partial_{y_2} - y_5\partial_{y_3} - y_2\partial_{y_4} + y_3\partial_{y_5} + y_0\partial_{y_6} - y_1\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{23}(y) &= y_1\partial_{y_0} - y_0\partial_{y_1} + y_3\partial_{y_2} - y_2\partial_{y_3} - y_5\partial_{y_4} + y_4\partial_{y_5} - y_7\partial_{y_6} + y_6\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{24}(y) &= y_6\partial_{y_0} + y_7\partial_{y_1} + y_4\partial_{y_2} + y_5\partial_{y_3} - y_2\partial_{y_4} - y_3\partial_{y_5} - y_0\partial_{y_6} - y_1\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{25}(y) &= -y_7\partial_{y_0} + y_6\partial_{y_1} + y_5\partial_{y_2} - y_4\partial_{y_3} + y_3\partial_{y_4} - y_2\partial_{y_5} - y_1\partial_{y_6} + y_0\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{26}(y) &= -y_4\partial_{y_0} - y_5\partial_{y_1} + y_6\partial_{y_2} + y_7\partial_{y_3} + y_0\partial_{y_4} + y_1\partial_{y_5} - y_2\partial_{y_6} - y_3\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{27}(y) &= y_5\partial_{y_0} - y_4\partial_{y_1} + y_7\partial_{y_2} - y_6\partial_{y_3} + y_1\partial_{y_4} - y_0\partial_{y_5} + y_3\partial_{y_6} - y_2\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{34}(y) &= -y_7\partial_{y_0} + y_6\partial_{y_1} - y_5\partial_{y_2} + y_4\partial_{y_3} - y_3\partial_{y_4} + y_2\partial_{y_5} - y_1\partial_{y_6} + y_0\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{35}(y) &= -y_6\partial_{y_0} - y_7\partial_{y_1} + y_4\partial_{y_2} + y_5\partial_{y_3} - y_2\partial_{y_4} - y_3\partial_{y_5} + y_0\partial_{y_6} + y_1\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{36}(y) &= y_5\partial_{y_0} - y_4\partial_{y_1} - y_7\partial_{y_2} + y_6\partial_{y_3} + y_1\partial_{y_4} - y_0\partial_{y_5} - y_3\partial_{y_6} + y_2\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{37}(y) &= y_4\partial_{y_0} + y_5\partial_{y_1} + y_6\partial_{y_2} + y_7\partial_{y_3} - y_0\partial_{y_4} - y_1\partial_{y_5} - y_2\partial_{y_6} - y_3\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{45}(y) &= y_1\partial_{y_0} - y_0\partial_{y_1} - y_3\partial_{y_2} + y_2\partial_{y_3} + y_5\partial_{y_4} - y_4\partial_{y_5} - y_7\partial_{y_6} + y_6\partial_{y_7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{46}(y) &= y_2\partial_{y_0} + y_3\partial_{y_1} - y_0\partial_{y_2} - y_1\partial_{y_3} + y_6\partial_{y_4} + y_7\partial_{y_5} - y_4\partial_{y_6} - y_5\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{47}(y) &= -y_3\partial_{y_0} + y_2\partial_{y_1} - y_1\partial_{y_2} + y_0\partial_{y_3} + y_7\partial_{y_4} - y_6\partial_{y_5} + y_5\partial_{y_6} - y_4\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{56}(y) &= -y_3\partial_{y_0} + y_2\partial_{y_1} - y_1\partial_{y_2} + y_0\partial_{y_3} - y_7\partial_{y_4} + y_6\partial_{y_5} - y_5\partial_{y_6} + y_4\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{57}(y) &= -y_2\partial_{y_0} - y_3\partial_{y_1} + y_0\partial_{y_2} + y_1\partial_{y_3} + y_6\partial_{y_4} + y_7\partial_{y_5} - y_4\partial_{y_6} - y_5\partial_{y_7} \\
Y_{67}(y) &= y_1\partial_{y_0} - y_0\partial_{y_1} - y_3\partial_{y_2} + y_2\partial_{y_3} - y_5\partial_{y_4} + y_4\partial_{y_5} + y_7\partial_{y_6} - y_6\partial_{y_7}.
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. ADAMS, *Vector Fields on Spheres*. Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962) 603–632.
- [2] A. AGRACHEV, U. BOSCAIN, J.-P. GAUTHIER, F. ROSSI, *The intrinsic hypoelliptic Laplacian and its heat kernel on unimodular Lie groups*. arXiv:0806.0734.
- [3] D. ALEKSEEVSKY, Y. KAMISHIMA, *Pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure on $(4n + 3)$ -dimensional manifolds*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **187** (2008), no. 3, 487–529.
- [4] A. BOGGESS, *CR manifolds and the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991. 364 pp.
- [5] U. BOSCAIN, F. ROSSI, *Invariant Carnot-Carathéodory metrics on S^3 , $SO(3)$, $SL(2)$ and lens spaces*. SIAM J. Control Optim. **47** (2008), no. 4, 1851–1878.
- [6] R. BOTT, J. MILNOR, *On the parallelizability of the spheres*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1958) 87–89.
- [7] D.-C. CHANG, I. MARKINA, *Geometric analysis on quaternion $\mathbb{H}$ -type groups*. J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 2, 265–294.
- [8] D.-C. CHANG, I. MARKINA, A. VASIL'EV, *Sub-Riemannian geodesics on the 3-D sphere*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory (2008).
- [9] W.-L. CHOW, *Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung*. (German) Math. Ann. **117** (1939) 98–105.
- [10] S. DRAGOMIR, G. TOMASSINI, *Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds*. Progress in Mathematics, 246. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. xvi+487 pp.
- [11] B. GAVEAU, *Principe de moindre action, propagation de la chaleur et estimées sous elliptiques sur certains groupes nilpotents*. Acta Math. **139** (1977), no. 1–2, 95–153.
- [12] V. YA. GERSHKOVICH, A. M. VERSHIK, *Geodesic flow on $SL(2, R)$ with nonholonomic constraints*. (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **155** (1986), Differentsial'naya Geometriya, Gruppy Li i Mekh. VIII, 7–17, 193; translation in J. Soviet Math. **41** (1988), no. 2, 891–898.
- [13] M. GROMOV, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within, sub-Riemannian geometry*, Prog. Math. **144** (1996), 79–323. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [14] H. HOPF, *Über die Abbildungen von Sphären auf Sphären niedrigerer Dimension*. Math. Ann. **104** (1931), 637–665.
- [15] A. HURTADO, C. ROSALES, *Area-stationary surfaces inside the sub-Riemannian three-sphere*. Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 3, 675–708.
- [16] W. LIU, H. J. SUSSMANN, *Shortest paths for sub-Riemannian metrics on rank-two distributions*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. /bf 118 (1995), no. 564, 104 pp.
- [17] D. W. LYONS, *An elementary introduction to the Hopf fibration*. Math. Mag. **76** (2003), no. 2, 87–98.
- [18] J. MITCHELL, *On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics*. J. Differential Geom. **21** (1985), 35–45.
- [19] R. MONTGOMERY, *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **91**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. 259 pp.
- [20] P. K. RASHEVSKIĬ, *About connecting two points of complete nonholonomic space by admissible curve*, Uch. Zapiski Ped. Inst. K. Liebknecht **2** (1938), 83–94.
- [21] R. STRICHARTZ, *Sub-Riemannian Geometry*. Journal of Differential Geometry, **24** (1986) 221–263.
- [22] H. SUSSMANN, *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **180** (1973), 171–188.
- [23] H. K. URBANTKE *The Hopf fibration—seven times in physics*. J. Geom. Phys. **46** (2003), no. 2, 125–150.

- [24] J. VICK, *Homology Theory: An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol 145. Springer-Verlag New York, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN, NORWAY.

E-mail address: mauricio.godoy@math.uib.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN, NORWAY.

E-mail address: irina.markina@uib.no